## Can malaria, dengue & Zika be controlled by a CRISPR-based gene drive?





John M. Marshall john.marshall@berkeley.edu Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology University of California, Berkeley







**John Marshall** 

Samson Kiware



Héctor M. Sánchez C. Gordana Rašić



Sean Wu



**Biyonka Liang** 





**Jared Bennett** 



**Sarafina Smith** 



Yogita Sharma



Valeri Vasquez



**Gillian Chu** 







Francois Rerolle





Thien-An Ha



Yi Li

#### Optimal interventions to eliminate malaria



• Walker PGT, Griffin JT, Ferguson NM, Ghani AC (2016) Lancet Global Health

#### Arboviruses are on the rise worldwide



• Lessler J, Chaisson LH, Kucirka LM, Bi Q, Grantz K et al. (2016) Science 353: aaf8160

#### Homing-based CRISPR-mediated gene drive





# Medea & toxin-antidote based gene drive



#### **Transgenic mothers** produce **toxin**



#### Transgenic offspring produce antidote







This causes the **death** of all **offspring** of heterozygous mothers that do not inherit the Medea allele (tt).

#### Public attitudes to gene editing for malaria control in Mali



"You have to start somewhere. From this, people will know whether it's good or bad... I would like you to conduct a trial in my village because I would like to be an example for another community." Elder, Koporo-na, Mali

"I would have to see an example of modified mosquitoes reducing malaria in another village before I believe this claim" Elder, Tienfala, Mali

• Marshal JM, Toure MB, Traore MM, Famenini S, Taylor CE (2010) Malaria Journal 9: 128

# Can we conduct a confined field trial of gene-edited mosquitoes?



#### **DARPA Safe Genes Program**



Enable temporal, spatial, and reversible control of gene editors

Inhibit unwanted gene editing activity Remove engineered genes from environments to return to baseline

#### **UC Irvine Malaria Initiative**



# Can CRISPR-based gene drive be effective at controlling disease on a wide scale?



HEG	
-----	--

## Talk outline

Q1. Can we conduct a confined field trial of gene-edited mosquitoes?

Q2. Can CRISPR-based gene drive be effective at controlling disease on a wide scale?

Q3. What are the best approaches for quantifying mosquito movement patterns of relevance to both questions?





# Q1. Can we conduct a confined field trial of gene-edited mosquitoes?



#### Semele & threshold-dependent gene drive



• Marshall JM, Pittman GW, Buchman A, Hay BA (2011) Genetics 187: 535-551.







#### Releases of Semele are confineable and reversible

Banambani, Mali



• Marshall JM, Pittman GW, Buchman A, Hay BA (2011) Genetics 187: 535-551.

#### Integrated spatially-explicit, ecological model



# Mosquito Gene Drive Explorer (MGDrivE)

#### MGDrivE

Mosquitos + Tensors + Genetics + CS + Networks + Math + Coffee

View Releases List
Browse
Documentation
View on
Youtube
Fork on
GitHub
Download
ZIP File

Download TAR Ball

#### Developed in John Marshall's Lab by:

-Lead: Héctor M. Sánchez C. -Core Dev: Sean L. Wu,Jared Bennett -Spatial Analysis: Biyonka Liang, Sarafina Smith, Sabrina Wong -Movement Kernels: Partow Imani

...and, of course, our PI; John M, Marshall!

# Mosquito Gene Drive Explorer

#### **Brief Description**

**MGDrivE** is a framework designed to serve as a testbed in which gene-drive releases for mosquito-borne diseases control can be tested. It is being developed to accommodate various mosquito-specific gene drive systems within a population dynamics model that allows migration of individuals between nodes in a spatial landscape.



Sánchez HM, Wu SL, Bennett JB, Marshall JM (2018) bioRxiv doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/350488

#### MGDrivE: Inheritance module









Mother

Mother







Offspring

genotype

frequency

0.8



HR HB Father

hR

RB

BB

Offspring: RB



Offspring: BB





Sánchez HM, Wu SL, Bennett JB, Marshall JM (2018) bioRxiv doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/350488 ٠

HR

HB Father hh

hR hB RR

RB BB

#### Mathematics of inheritance module

• The number of eggs having genotype *i* that are laid at time *t*,  $E_{i,[t]}$ , is given by:

$$E_{i,[t]} = \overline{1_{1 \times n}} \times \left( \beta \cdot (\overline{s_{n \times 1}} \otimes \overline{1_{n \times 1}}) \circ \overline{F_{[t]}} \circ \overline{Ih(,,i)} \circ \overline{\Lambda(,i)} \right) \times \overline{1_{n \times 1}}$$

$$\beta = \text{number of eggs produced per day}$$

$$s_{i} = \text{female genotype-specific multiplier}$$

$$\overline{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1} \\ M_{2} \\ M_{3} \\ \vdots \\ M_{g} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \overline{\overline{F}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} & F_{13} & \cdots & F_{1g} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} & F_{23} & \cdots & F_{2g} \\ F_{31} & F_{32} & F_{33} & \cdots & F_{3g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{g1} & F_{g2} & F_{g3} & \cdots & F_{gg} \end{pmatrix}$$

Number of adult males having each genotype,  $M_i$ 

Number of adult females having each mated genotype,  $F_{ij}$ , i = owngenotype, j = genotype of father For each pairing, the vertical columns represent the proportion of offspring having each genotype

## MGDrivE: Ecology module



#### Mosquito vector species of interest







Aedes aegypti

#### Anopheles gambiae

Anopheles stephensi

Parameter	Symbol	Ae. aegypti	An. gambiae	$C.\ capitata$
Egg production per female $(day^{-1})$	$\beta$	20 [36]	32 [37]	20 [38]
Duration of egg stage (days)	$T_E$	5 39	1 37	2   38
Duration of larval stage (days)	$T_L$	6 39	13 37	6 38
Duration of pupa stage (days)	$T_P$	4 39	1 37	10[38]
Daily population growth rate $(day^{-1})$	$r_M$	1.175 40	1.096 41	1.031 42
Daily mortality risk of adult stage $(day^{-1})$	$\mu_M, \mu_F$	0.090 [43 - 45]	0.123 41	0.100 46

Table 2. Life history module parameter values for three species of interest (at a temperature of 25 Celsius).

## MGDrivE: Landscape module



#### Landscapes of interest for mosquito vectors



#### Epidemiological extension for mosquito-borne diseases

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Application: Chromosomal translocations**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Buchman A, Ivy T, Marshall JM, Akbari OS, Hay BA (2018) ACS Synthetic Biology

#### **Application: Chromosomal translocations**

NATURE, VOL. 218, APRIL 27, 1968

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Buchman A, Ivy T, Marshall JM, Akbari OS, Hay BA (2018) ACS Synthetic Biology

#### Application: Translocations with remediation

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Landscapes of interest for Aedes aegypti

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Application: Replacement & remediation of translocations

- Data suggests there are ~15 adult Ae. aegypti per household
- Weekly releases of 20 adult males having the translocation
- Vary household coverage & number of releases

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Sánchez HM, Bennett JB, Wu SL, Rasic GL, Akbari OS, Marshall JM (2019) bioRxiv

#### Application: Confinement of translocations

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Consider translocations fixing in Yorkeys Knob
- Consider batches of Ae. aegypti being transported from Yorkeys Knob to Trinity Park by human transport
- Vary size & number of daily migration events

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

For a batch size of 5 adults, ≥20 daily batch migration events required

• Sánchez HM, Bennett JB, Wu SL, Rasic GL, Akbari OS, Marshall JM (2019) bioRxiv

# Q2. Can CRISPR-based gene drive be effective at controlling disease on a wide scale?

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Application: Homing drive targeting female fertility gene

#### LETTERS

nature biotechnology

A CRISPR-Cas9 gene drive system targeting female reproduction in the malaria mosquito vector *Anopheles gambiae* 

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Hammond A, Galizi R, Kyrou K, Simoni A, ..., Windbichler N, Crisanti A, Nolan T (2016) Nature Biotechnology 34: 78-83

#### Application: Homing drive targeting female fertility gene

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Application: Homing drive targeting female fertility gene

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Multiplex number:	In-frame resistant allele generation rate:	Population size capable of eliminating (90% of simulations):
1	0.13%	32
2	0.00017%	24 thousand
3	2.2 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	19 million
4	2.9 x 10 <sup>-12</sup>	14 billion

• Marshall JM, Buchman A, Sanchez HM, Akbari OS (2017) Nature Sci Rep 7: 3776

#### A CRISPR–Cas9 gene drive targeting *doublesex* causes complete population suppression in caged *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes

nature biotechnology

Kyros Kyrou<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew M Hammond<sup>1,2</sup>, Roberto Galizi<sup>1</sup>, Nace Kranjc<sup>1</sup>, Austin Burt<sup>1</sup>, Andrea K Beaghton<sup>1</sup>, Tony Nolan<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Crisanti<sup>1</sup>

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Kyros K, Hammond AM, Galizi R, ..., Nolan T, Crisanti A (2018) Nature Biotechnol 36, 1062–1066

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Current CRISPR gene drive systems are likely to be highly invasive in wild populations

Charleston Noble<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Ben Adlam<sup>1,4†</sup>, George M Church<sup>2,3</sup>, Kevin M Esvelt<sup>5</sup>\*, Martin A Nowak<sup>1,6,7</sup>\*

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

•

#### The New York Times

#### MATTER

#### 'Gene Drives' Are Too Risky for Field Trials, Scientists Say

That may well mean that experiments in the real world are just too risky right now.

"The very idea of a field trial is that it's a trial that's confined to an area," Dr. Esvelt said. "Our model indicates that this is not the case."

"It's an important contribution," said John M. Marshall, a mathematical biologist at the University of California, Berkeley, said of the new research. "A study like this is the beginning of a formal analysis we need."

Noble C, Adlam B, Church GM, Esvelt KM *et al.* (2018) eLife doi: 10.7554/eLife.33423

## MCR homing drive construct from Bier Lab, UCSD

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

5

Generation

10

0.0 -

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)

Generation

![](_page_38_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_7.jpeg)

Generation

![](_page_38_Figure_8.jpeg)

GFP-/y+ males

![](_page_38_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### Model fitting: Current homing-based drive system

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Model fitting: Current homing-based drive system

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Fitness cost of H allele = 46.6% (Crl: 45.1-47.9%)
- Fitness cost of B allele = 37.9% (Crl: 36.3-39.4%)

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

Expt

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Current systems don't spread far... but others might

Allele frequencies (current system):

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Application: CRISPR-based split drive

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Li M, Yang T, Kandul N, Biu M, Gamez S, Bennett JB, Sánchez HM, ..., Marshall JM, Akbari OS (2019) bioRxiv

#### Application: CRISPR-based split drive

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Li M, Yang T, Kandul N, Biu M, Gamez S, Bennett JB, Sánchez HM, ..., Marshall JM, Akbari OS (2019) bioRxiv

#### Application: Precision-guided sterile insect technique

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Kandul NP, Liu J, Sánchez HM, Wu SL, Marshall JM, Akbari OS (2019) Nature Communications 10: 84

#### Application: Precision-guided sterile insect technique

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Kandul NP, Liu J, Sánchez HM, Wu SL, Marshall JM, Akbari OS (2019) Nature Communications 10: 84

# Q3. What are the best approaches for quantifying mosquito movement patterns of relevance to both questions?

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Inspiration from coral trout

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Williamson DH, Harrison HB, Almany GR, Berumen ML et al. (2016) Mol. Ecol. 25L 6039-6054

#### Close-kin genetic methods to infer mosquito dispersal

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Determining familial relationships from lab colonies

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **MOLECULAR ECOLOGY**

ORIGINAL ARTICLE 🔂 Open Access 💿 😧

#### Allele frequency-free inference of close familial relationships from genotypes or low-depth sequencing data

Ryan K. Waples, Anders Albrechtsen 🔀, Ida Moltke 🔀

First published: 21 November 2018 | https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14954

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

## Determining power of close-kin methods in silico

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Summary

# Q1. Can we conduct a confined field trial of gene-edited mosquitoes?

- Threshold-dependent systems may be confineable to partially isolated populations
- More study is needed on population structure & batch migration

# Q2. Can CRISPR-based gene drive be effective at controlling disease on a wide scale?

- Multiplexing guide RNAs could sufficiently reduce resistant allele generation rates
- More study is needed of the molecular mechanisms
- Current homing-based drive systems are not as invasive as hyped; but future ones could be

# Q3. What are the best approaches for quantifying mosquito movement patterns?

• Close-kin capture methods are promising for inferring details of the fine-scale movement patterns of mosquitoes

![](_page_52_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_12.jpeg)

# Acknowledgements

○ Francois Rerolle

• Biyonka Liang

○ Sarafina Smith

○ Thien-An Ha

• Gillian Chu

• Maya Shen

• Yi Li

#### LAB MEMBERS:

- o Héctor M. Sánchez C.
- $\circ$  Sean L. Wu
- Jared B. Bennett
- $\circ$  Valeri Vasquez
- Yogita Sharma
- o **Tomás León**
- Victor Ferman
- Gordana Rasic
- o Samson Kiware

#### **COLLABORATORS:**

- o Akbari Lab @ UC Riverside
- Lanzaro Lab @ UC Davis
- James Lab @ UC Irvine
- Bier Lab @ UCSD
- o Hay Lab @ Caltech
- Malaria Elimination Initiative @ UCSF
- $\circ\,$  Prof David Smith @ IHME, UW
- School of Public Health @ UC Berkeley

#### FUNDERS:

![](_page_53_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_24.jpeg)

BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation The Parker Foundation

![](_page_53_Picture_27.jpeg)